

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Attorney Docket No. **020431.0843**

In re Application of: §
§
MANOEL TENORIO § Examiner: §
§
Serial No. **09/895,525** § **TE Y CHEN** §
§
Filed: **28 JUNE 2001** § Art Unit: **2161** §
§
For: **ASSOCIATION OF DATA WITH A** § Confirmation No. **8191** §
PRODUCT CLASSIFICATION §
SCHEMA §

STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir/Madam:

A Notice of Allowance was mailed on 8 December 2009 in the subject Application and included an Interview Summary Form, which provided for a response period ending 8 January 2009. This Statement of the Substance of the Interview is being filed prior to the ending of the response period of 8 January 2009.

REMARKS:

A Notice of Allowance was mailed on 8 December 2009 in the subject Application and included an Interview Summary Form, which provided for a response period ending 8 January 2009. The Interview Summary form is in response to a telephonic interview, conducted on 17 November 2009, between Applicant's representative, Steven Laureanti and Examiner Susan Y. Chen.

The Interview Summary Form indicates that the substance of the telephonic interviews must be included in the formal written reply to the last Office Action. (*See* MPEP Section 713.04). The Interview Summary Form further indicates that if a reply to the last Office Action has already been filed, Applicant is given one month from this interview date, or the mailing date of this Interview Summary Forms, whichever is later, to file a Statement of the Substance of the Interview.

In response, Applicant is respectfully submitting this Statement of the Substance of the Interview prior to the ending of the response period of 8 January 2009. In addition, Applicant is submitting electronically herewith an Amendment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.312 to correct various typographical errors in the Examiner's amendment in the Notice of Allowance mailed on 8 December 2009.

Telephonic Interview of 17 November 2009

Examiner Chen telephoned Mr. Laureanti on 17 November 2009 to conduct a telephone interview on the subject Application.

During the telephone interview on 17 November 2009, Examiner Chen indicated that Applicant's Claims would be allowable if amended to cancel duplicated Claims 19 and 34 and to incorporate the limitations of Claim 8 into each of the independent claims.

Applicant respectfully submits that although Applicant believes Claims 1-33 are directed to patentable subject matter, and in condition for allowance without amendment Applicant has amended Applicant's claims in an effort to expedite prosecution of this Application.

By making these amendments, Applicant makes no admission concerning the merits of the Examiner's rejections or objections, and respectfully reserves the right to address any statement or averment of the Examiner not specifically addressed. Particularly, Applicant reserves the right to pursue broader claims in this Application or through a continuation patent application. No new matter has been added by the Examiner's amendment.

Examiner's Amendment Contains Various Typographical Errors

Applicant respectfully submits that the Examiner's amendment included in the Notice of Allowance mailed on 8 December 2009 contains various typographical errors.

In particular, in response to the Examiner's suggestions during the telephone interview of 17 November 2009, Applicant's representative agreed to cancel duplicated Claims 19 and 34 and to incorporate the limitations of Claim 8 in each of the independent Claims 1, 12, 23, 35, 36, and 37. However, there was no discussion about, and no agreement was reached regarding the amendments to replace the term "ontologies" with the term "ontology" or to replace the terms "is associated comprises" with the term "comprising." In addition, the listing of claims that the Examiner's amendment is based on, does not appear to be the correct listing of claims. For example, there are various typographical errors in the claim language, relative to status of the claims in the response to the amendment filed on 15 July 2009.

Applicant is submitting herewith an Amendment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.312 to correct the various typographical errors in the Examiner's amendment in the Notice of Allowance mailed on 8 December 2009.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for proposing the Examiner's Amendment and the Notice of Allowance mailed on 8 December 2009. Applicant is submitting this Statement of the Substance of the Interview prior to the ending of the response period of 8 January 2009.

CONCLUSION:

Although Applicant believes no fees are deemed to be necessary; the undersigned hereby authorizes the Commissioner to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayments, to **Deposit Account No. 500777**. If an extension of time is necessary for allowing this Response to be timely filed, this document is to be construed as also constituting a Petition for Extension of Time Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) to the extent necessary. Any fee required for such Petition for Extension of Time should be charged to **Deposit Account No. 500777**.

Please link this application to Customer No. 53184 so that its status may be checked via the PAIR System.

Respectfully submitted,

9 December 2009
Date

/Steven J. Laureanti/signed
Steven J. Laureanti, Registration No. 50,274

BOOTH UDALL, PLC
1155 W. Rio Salado Pkwy., Ste. 101
Tempe AZ, 85281
214.636.0799 (mobile)
480.830.2700 (office)
480.830.2717 (fax)
steven@boothudall.com

CUSTOMER NO. 53184